

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/000,325                                     | 12/04/2001       | Michael S.H. Chu     | -06530.0285-00000.  | 9761            |
| 22852 7  | 590 05/26/2005   |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER |                  |                      | THALER, MICHAEL H   |                 |
| LLP<br>901 NEW YOR                             | RK AVENUE, NW    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|  | N, DC 20001-4413 |                      | 3731                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | 5                              |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |                                |
| Advisory Action   | 10/000,325  | CHU, MICHAEL S.H.   |                                |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                                |
|   | Michael Thaler  | 3731  |                                |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence addres  | s                              |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP  | PLICATION IN CONDITION  | FOR ALLOWANCE.  |                                |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the second second</li></ol> | owing replies: (1) an amend<br>lotice of Appeal (with appea<br>pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | ment, affidavit, or other evidence<br>I fee) in compliance with 37 CFR            | e, which<br>R 41.31; or        |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adeevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  | visory Action, or (2) the date set f<br>nan SIX MONTHS from the mailir                    | ng date of the final rejection.   |                                |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   | Ŋ.  |   |                                |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  | and the corresponding amount of<br>tatutory period for reply originally                   | the fee. The appropriate extension fe set in the final Office action; or (2) as s | e under 37<br>set forth in (b) |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any solution of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS  | extension thereof (37 CFR 4   | 1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th  |                                |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  | but prior to the date of filin  | g a brief will not be entered bec   | ause                           |
| (a) $\boxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further $(b)$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.  | onsideration and/or search (<br>ow);  | see NOTE below);  |                                |
| <ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be<br/>appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | etter form for appeal by mate   | erially reducing or simplifying the   | s issues for                   |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a  |   | nally rejected claims.  |                                |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1. 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.   | , ,,  | Non-Compliant Amendment (P  | TOL-324)                       |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>  |   | Non-Compliant Amendment (F  | 101-324).                      |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).  | ·   | eparate, timely filed amendment   | canceling                      |
| <ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ul>  | ) ⊠ will not be entered, or to<br>pvided below or appended.                               | o)  will be entered and an exp  | lanation of                    |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |                                |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-39 and 61-107.   |   |   |                                |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |                                |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |                                |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | nd sufficient reasons why th  | e affidavit or other evidence is no   | ecessary                       |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>  | overcome all rejections und   | er appeal and/or appellant fails t  | I <u>not</u> be<br>o provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | on of the status of the claim   | s after entry is below or attached  | 1.                             |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the appli   | cation in condition for allowance   | because:                       |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1440)   | Paner No(s)   |                                |
| 13. Other:  | . (. 10/05/00 011 10-1449)  | 1 apoi 110(3).  |                                |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) Michael Thaler Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3731 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues arise from the new limitations in claims 1, 22, 61, 86 and new claims 108-119.